01		
02		
03		
04		
05		
06	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
07		
08	PATRICK G. KARANJA,	
09	Petitioner,	CASE NO. C10-1874-MJP
10	v. )	
11	A. NEIL CLARK, Field Office Director, U.S.	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
12	Immigration and Customs Enforcement, )	
13	Respondent.	
14	On December 1, 2010, petitioner, proceeding pro se, filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas	
15	Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241, challenging his detention by the United States	
16	Immigration and Customs Enforcement ("ICE"). (Dkt. 6.) As relief, petitioner requested that	
17	the Court order his release from immigration custody, or, in the alternative, order the agency to	
18	hold a bond hearing before an Immigration Judge. <i>Id.</i> at 2. Respondent subsequently filed a	
19	Return and Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 12), and petitioner filed a response (Dkt. 15). On	
20	February 3, 2011, however, respondent filed a Motion to Supplement Return and Motion to	
21	Dismiss along with documentation, which indicates that petitioner was released from	
22	immigration custody pursuant to an order of supervision on January 28, 2011. (Dkt. 16, Ex.	
	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION PAGE -1	

A.) Respondent contends that because petitioner has now been given the relief requested in his habeas petition, this matter has become moot and may be dismissed. *Id*. For a federal court to have jurisdiction, "an actual controversy must exist at all stages of the litigation." Biodiversity Legal Foundation v. Badgley, 309 F.3d 1166, 1173 (9th Cir. 2002). "When a controversy no longer exists, the case is moot." *Id.* Because petitioner is no longer detained by ICE, the Court finds that petitioner's habeas petition is moot and should be dismissed without prejudice and without award of costs to either party. See, e.g., Cooney v. Edwards, 971 F.2d 345, 346 (9th Cir. 1992)(holding that the District Court properly dismissed plaintiff's claims that had become either moot or unripe). A proposed Order accompanies this Report and Recommendation. DATED this 10th day of February, 2011. United States Magistrate Judge 

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION PAGE -2